

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/657,620	09/08/2003	James R. Davis	Aerotech 4.1-5	Aerotech 4.1-5 1055	
21036	7590 09/14/2004		EXAMINER		
MCLEOD & MOYNE, P.C. 2190 COMMONS PARKWAY			JOYCE, HAROLD		
OKEMOS, M			ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
·			3749		
			DATE MAIL ED: 00/14/2004	DATE MAILED: 09/14/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			7 1/ 1/			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	4/1/1			
	10/657,620	DAVIS ET AL.	V O			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Harold Joyce	3749				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence a	ddress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timed within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered time the mailing date of this D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	_· action is non-final.					
<u>, </u>		secution as to th	ne merits is			
) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
 4) Claim(s) 1-19 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-19 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or 	wn from consideration.	·				
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10)☒ The drawing(s) filed on <u>08 September 2003</u> is/s Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ object drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 (CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this Nationa	al Stage			
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary					
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>9082003</u>. 	Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:		TO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/657,620 Page 2

Art Unit: 3749

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the German patent in view of Strawsine. The German patent discloses the claimed invention except for the motor being connected to the center hub. Strawsine teaches that it is known to provide a "fan motor 58 having a blade 59" as set forth at column 3, line 46. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the hub of the German patent to be connected to the motor, as taught by Strawsine in order to provide the conventional direct connection of the motor to the fan.
- 3. Claims 17-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the German patent in view of Strawsine as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Bohanon, Sr. Further, the German patent discloses the claimed invention except for the backdraft assembly. Bohanon, Sr. teaches that it is known to provide a fan enclosure with a louvered shutter arrangement as set forth at column 3, line 66 to column 4, line 19. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the fan of the German patent with the backdraft assembly, as taught by Bohanon, Sr. for its obvious intended purpose.

Application/Control Number: 10/657,620

Art Unit: 3749

Double Patenting

Page 3

4. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

5. Claims 1-19 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-31 of U.S. Patent No. 6,386,828. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the claims differ only in the omission of elements. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to omit element from a combination claim, since it has been held that omission of an element and its function in a combination where the remaining elements perform the same functions as before involves only routine skill in the art. *In re Karlson*, 136 USPQ 184.

Conclusion

6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Application/Control Number: 10/657,620

Art Unit: 3749

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Harold Joyce whose telephone number is (703) 308-0274. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ira Lazarus can be reached on (703) 308-1935. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Halfold Joyce Primary Examiner Art Unit 3749 Page 4